





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,393	10/10/2001	Josef V. Koblish	268/214	2510	
75	90 08/13/2003				
David T. Burse			EXAMINER		
Bingham McCutchen LLP Three Embarcadero Center			KEARNEY, ROSI	KEARNEY, ROSILAND STACIE	
Suite 1800 San Francisco, 0) isco, CA 94111-4067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, ·			3739	1.0	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	\mathcal{U}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SC
• .	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/975,393	KOBLISH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Rosiland S Kearney	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	}
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under			rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-58</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-58</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in a	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	e
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has l	peen received.	
15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	s. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 15-19, 21-25, 27-31, 33-37, 39-47, 49-53 and 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lesh '457. In Figure 8a-c, Lesh discloses an invasive catheter assembly comprising an elongate catheter, an expandable electrode body (370) configured to form a pronounce ring which defines a distal facing surface. The distal facing surface including an area configured to emit RF energy (352).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20, 32 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lesh further in view of Whayne et al. '4454 Lesh teaches all of the limitations of the claims except the RF emitting area comprising a microporous section. Whayne et al. disclose a similar device and teach that it is old and well known in the art to provide a

Application/Control Number: 09/975,393

Art Unit: 3739

microporous section which would provide a stationary platform for visualizing tissue and making possible the creation of an accurate image of the targeted tissue. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to provide a microporous section in the Lesh device as taught by Whayne et al. to provide a stationary platform for visualizing tissue.

Page 3

Claims 26, 38 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lesh further in view of Whayne et al. '411. Lesh teaches all of the limitations of the claims except a temperature-sensing element. Whayne et al. disclose a similar device and teach that it is old and well known in the art to provide a temperature-sensing element to control the power level and achieve the desired lesion patterns. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to provide a temperature sensing element on the Lesh device as taught by Whayne et al. to provide a means of controlling the power level.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Kearney whose telephone number is 703/3082711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 703/3080994. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/3080758 for regular communications and 703/3080758 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,393 Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/3080858.

RK

August 5, 2003

ROSILAND K. ROLLINS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Resiland Learney Rollins